

2013 SDIVSL Debate 3

Rancho Bernardo High School | Jan 12, 2013

Varsity Policy Results for Round 1

- ✓ • **High Tech High Chula Vista GDKG** A (28/25) defeated San Dieguito Academy AKNN (27/29)
- ✓ • **La Costa Canyon High School CMJC** A (29/29.2) defeated San Dieguito Academy ELSS (28.5/28.4)
- • **San Dieguito Academy JMRL** A (30/29.5) defeated San Dieguito Academy SDKG (27/29.6)
- ✓ • **San Dieguito Academy RGCG** A (29/28) defeated La Costa Canyon High School GGEJ (29/28)
- ✓ • **San Dieguito Academy SBAM** N (27.5/28.5) defeated Westview High School YHJC (27/27.5)
- ✓ • **San Dieguito Academy TKKS** N (29/27.5) defeated High Tech High Chula Vista RUTB (25.5/26)
- ✓ • **Westview High School LPAW** A (28/29) defeated San Dieguito Academy ECML (29/27)

Guy La Plante

EverythingTab.com

304 Liberty 1 - Liberty CHS

Room: SW 4

Round Start: 9:15

Varsity Policy Round 1 FLIGHT: A

2013 SDIVSL Debate 3

Ballot Due: ASAP

Affirmative: High Tech High Chula Vista
GDKG

Negative: San Dieguito Academy AKNN

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-25)
2	Gustavo De Leon	2	28

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-25)
4	Andrew Kenney	3	27

1	Kyla Getzel	4	25
---	-------------	---	----

2	Nate Neumann	1	29
---	--------------	---	----

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ~~YES~~ NO

[Signature]
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

LIBERTY CHARTER HIGH School
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

KYLA - EXTREMELY FAST, I COULDN'T UNDERSTAND ANYTHING, MISSED ALL POINTS/EVIDENCE DIDN'T SLOW DOWN WHEN ASKED. IN SPEECH STARTED SLOW BUT QUICKLY BECAME TOO FAST AND GARBLED YOUR LAST SENTENCES WHILE WALKING OFF

NATE - VERY GOOD, EASY TO UNDERSTAND, POINTS WELL EXPLAINED. OCCASIONALLY GOT FAST AND ALMOST FRAZZLED

GUSTAVO - VERY GOOD, EASY TO UNDERSTAND, POINTS WELL EXPLAINED. YOU WON IT FOR YOUR TEAM BY BRINGING UP THE QUESTION OF WHERE WILL THE MONEY COME FROM. IF NOT TOLLS AS NEGATIVE SAID IT WASN'T, WHERE THEN? HAS TO BE GOVERNMENT MONEY AND THUS THEIR ARGUMENTS ARE VOID

ANDREW - EXTREMELY FAST, SLOWED A BIT WHEN ASKED. GOOD POINTS MADE WHEN I COULD UNDERSTAND THEM

Dan Bauer

EverythingTab.com

300 High Tech 2 - HTHCV

Room: 605

Round Start: 9:15

Varsity Policy Round 1 FLIGHT: A

2013 SDIVSL Debate 3

Ballot Due: ASAP

Affirmative: La Costa Canyon High School
CMJC

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-25)
-----	------	----------	----------------

2A	Chad McVeigh	2	29.0
----	--------------	---	------

1A	Jack Coyle	1	29.2
----	------------	---	------

Negative: San Dieguito Academy ELSS

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-25)
-----	------	----------	----------------

1N	Elizabeth Loren	3	28.5
----	-----------------	---	------

2N	Shovik Sarkar	4	28.4
----	---------------	---	------

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

Dan Bauer - DBauer
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

HighTech High Chula Vista
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

~~1A - Racking, Eye contact, Good Argument, good cross exam~~

*Both teams - Be prepared when going to podium → Appeared both sides were "preping" after prep time expired - Avoid hiding behind laptops.

Affirmative had a more compelling argument, presented good facts, and negated the Negative side's counter arguments.

Bank Comments

1A - Good enthusiasm, eye contact + articulation.. Avoid "rocking" when standing and interrupting when your partner is speaking

2A - Good eye contact + hand gesturing. Sometimes hard to understand points.

N - Clear voice and good flow of speech but could look-up more to draw in audience

N - Good posture + eye contact. A bit timid when answering cross-exam

Olga Timofeeva

306 ~~MVHS 2 - MVHS~~ LCC

Varsity Policy Round 1 FLIGHT: A

Room: SW 6

2013 SDIVSL Debate 3

Round Start: 9:15

Ballot Due: ASAP

Affirmative: San Dieguito Academy JMRL

Negative: San Dieguito Academy SDKG

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-25)
<u>1</u>	Johnny Menhennet	<u>1</u>	<u>30</u>

<u>2</u>	Rebecca Lim	<u>3</u>	<u>29.5</u>
----------	-------------	----------	-------------

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-25)
<u>1</u>	Sarah Dykshorn	<u>4</u>	<u>27</u>

<u>2</u>	Kira Gaby	<u>2</u>	<u>29.6</u>
----------	-----------	----------	-------------

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

Olga Timofeeva
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

LCC
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

301 Westview 3 - Westview

Varsity Policy Round 1 FLIGHT: A

Room: SW 1

2013 SDIVSL Debate 3

Round Start: 9:15

Ballot Due: ASAP

Affirmative: San Dieguito Academy RGCG

Negative: La Costa Canyon High School GGEJ

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-25)
-----	------	----------	----------------

1A	Riley Glatts	1	29
----	--------------	---	----

2A	Casey Galleher	4	28
----	----------------	---	----

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-25)
-----	------	----------	----------------

1N	Garrick Gama	2	29
----	--------------	---	----

2N	Erin Jacobson	3	28
----	---------------	---	----

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

Westview

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

Westview

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

All four students/debaters were very poised and each side did extremely well in communicating facts and point/counterpoint.

~~Affirmative~~ Both sides made compelling arguments for and against so it was difficult for me to award a winner. But ultimately, Affirmative prevailed, although slightly. All four debaters were excellent!! For the individual points score, I ~~was~~ awarded an extra point for clearer ~~and~~ and/or slower speaking.

SW5
305 Del Norte 1 - DNHS

Varsity Policy Round 1 FLIGHT: A

Room: SW 5

2013 SDIVSL Debate 3

Round Start: 9:15

Ballot Due: ASAP

Affirmative: Westview High School YHJC

Negative: San Dieguito Academy SBAM

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-25)
2	Pro Yash Huilgal	4	27
1	Pro Justin Chiang	2	27.5

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-25)
2	Con Samuel Blakistone	3	27.5
1	Con Alec Maskiewicz	1	28.5

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Federal Govt. Should substantially
increase investment in transportation
infrastructure
Pro

1. 78 min. commute
Bridges last 49 yrs.
outdated & overused
good steady speaking voice
good transportation
economy/environment suffer
\$10 per gallon
good citations for both
easy to understand
continued eye contact
2. Cross X
2. 98% econ. agree with
TAX
Good Volume + pace
reiterate position
Good terminology
1. Need
Good

Con
for summarization
2. Cross X poor & misdirected
1. good terminology
Good citations, got stuck
on only 1.1.
Didn't address contentions
from Neg, convincingly.
Nuclear War?
2. good attack on unengulfed
States
How would Nuclear war
documented
States should do it
good summarizing & peer
1. Good summarization on key p.
and failings of Aff.

2 Good Closing

303 RB 5 - RBHS

Varsity Policy Round 1 FLIGHT: A

Room: SW 3

2013 SDIVSL Debate 3

Round Start: 9:15

Ballot Due: ASAP

Affirmative: High Tech High Chula Vista RUTB Negative: San Dieguito Academy TKKS

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-25)	Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-25)
1	Rafael Uribe	4	25.5	1	Taylor Knudson	1	29
2	Tristan Bauer	3	26	2	Kate Shapiro	2	27.5

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

①

X
 - facts/stats
 - speaking
 - not confident

S

deficiencies

② gd - employment
company

bad - not prepared
 - not enough pts.
 to cover time.
 - did not conclude effectively

②
 - quick contractors? redundant.
 - bad - repetitive
 - other forms & x, but no og.
 - only 10k lives.
 - good - investment pr.
 - presentation

① good - counter pr.
 - speaking
 - lots of thought

bad - scornful

to comp.?

Gary Everedayan

EverythingTab.com

302 Carlsbad 2 - Cbad

Room: SW 2

Round Start: 9:15

Varsity Policy Round 1 FLIGHT: A

2013 SDIVSL Debate 3

Ballot Due: ASAP

Affirmative: Westview High School LPAW

Negative: San Dieguito Academy ECML

Pos Name

RK (1-4)

Points
(30-25)

Pos Name

RK (1-4)

Points
(30-25)

1 AF Lily Paek

3

28

2 NEG

Ewan Callender

2

29

2 AF Allen Wilhelm

1

29

1 NEG

Michael
Lonsway

A

27

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

CHS

Lily Paek

① READS
PAPER
ONLY

② OVERTIME

③ ALLEN ↑

MORE FACTS

3 POINT
PLAN
POTENTIAL

EWAN ↑

① GOOD REMOT
② COST QUEST. NOT ANSW.

③ MICHAEL ↓

EWAN

POINT

DIRECTION
AWAY FROM
TOPIC WAS
A GOOD POINT

2013 SDIVSL Debate 3

Rancho Bernardo High School | Jan 12, 2013

Varsity Parli Results for Round 1

- ✓ • **Carlsbad High LBKB N** (29/29.5) defeated Mission Vista High School DMCS (27/26)
- ✗ • **Del Norte High School JLJS A** (29/29) defeated Carlsbad High IMBE (27/28)
- ✓ • **Francis Parker CGJN N** (25/29) defeated La Costa Canyon High School KRAM (26/25)
- ✓ • **La Costa Canyon High School ABCB N** (27/28.5) defeated Mission Vista High School TCar (28/26)
- ✓ • **La Costa Canyon High School CDDS N** (28.5/29) defeated Scripps Ranch High School JNEL (27/28)
- ✗ • **La Costa Canyon High School JLCD A** (27/28) defeated Scripps Ranch High School KSCW (27/26)
- ✗ • **La Costa Canyon High School KOSL A** (27/27) defeated San Dieguito Academy GGGC (26/26)
 - **La Costa Canyon High School MARH N** (B/B) defeated ZZ-BYE-ZZ ()
- ✓ • **La Costa Canyon High School TSHR N** (27.8/27) defeated Mission Vista High School LRSH (26/27.5)
- ✓ • **Mission Vista High School ACKQ N** (28/29) defeated La Costa Canyon High School ZLAM (27/27)
- ✗ • **Mission Vista High School RATM N** (28/30) defeated San Dieguito Academy SOET (28.5/29)
- ✗ • **Rancho Bernardo High School GHIP A** (29/28) defeated Scripps Ranch High School JWBH (28/27)
- ✗ • **San Dieguito Academy TGSL A** (28/29) defeated Scripps Ranch High School AXJX (27/25)
- ✗ • **Scripps Ranch High School AMJK A** (26/27) defeated La Costa Canyon High School KJID (27/26)
 - **Torrey Pines High School ATPG N** (BYE) defeated Carlsbad High BE ()
- ✗ • **Torrey Pines High School EPND N** (28.5/29) defeated Mission Vista High School MLNo (28/28.3)
- ✗ • **Torrey Pines High School LTYA A** (27/29) defeated Scripps Ranch High School JYAS (28/27)
- ✓ • **Torrey Pines High School NBRH A** (28/29) defeated Scripps Ranch High School EWNM (27/26.5)

Cris Troupe

281 MVHS 3 - MVHS

Varsity Parli Round 1 FLIGHT: A

Room: 309

2013 SDIVSL Debate 3

Round Start: 9:05

Ballot Due: ASAP

Affirmative: La Costa Canyon High School
KRAM

Negative: Francis Parker CGJN

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-25)	Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-25)
___	Kaci Romley	<u>7</u>	<u>26</u>	___	Ciaran Gallagher	<u>3</u>	<u>25</u>
___	Amber Miller	<u>4</u>	<u>25</u>	___	Jennifer Nugent	<u>1</u>	<u>29</u>

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ___

Cris Troupe
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Resolution: This house values spending on education over defense.

Good job on both sides

282 Westview 2 - Westview

Varsity Parli Round 1 FLIGHT: A

Room: 305

2013 SDIVSL Debate 3

Round Start: 9:05

Ballot Due: ASAP

Affirmative: Mission Vista High School LRSH

Negative: La Costa Canyon High School TSHR

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-25)
1	Lizzy Ramirez	4	26
3	Sandi Holstein	2	27.5

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-25)
2	Tyler Simpson	1	27.75
4	Harrison Roth	3	27

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

Irfan Khan
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

Westview High.
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Resolution: This house values spending on education over defense.

Both teams should have defined "defense" first.
La Costa team "stretched" defense to include local law enforcement

Mission Vista team started off by ~~inter~~ interpreting "defense" to imply ~~war~~. Just war.

Both teams provided no quantitative data in support of their arguments. La Costa team said public schools are ~~good~~ enough & budget cuts won't impact. ~~Why?~~ Why not? Likewise Mission Vista team also had no quantitative data as to why budget cuts would hurt. Both teams should have defined "defense" first. ~~Mission Vista High School interpreted "defense" to necessarily mean "war". Not true. La Costa made arguments~~

~~Good job by all!~~ Good job by all! Lizzy taking deep breaks to overcome nervousness would help. Keep

practicing & participate more. You have good potential to be a good debator.

286 Cathedral 1 - CCHS

Varsity Parli Round 1 FLIGHT: A

Room: 203

2013 SDIVSL Debate 3

Round Start: 9:05

Ballot Due: ASAP

Affirmative: Scripps Ranch High School JNEL Negative: La Costa Canyon High School CDDS

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-25)	Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-25)
3	Jim Nguyen	4	27	4	Craig Dobson	2	28.5
+	Eric Li	3	28	2	Danny Sprague	1	29

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

Amy Ballelo
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

Cathedral Catholic
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Resolution: This house values spending on education over defense.

Danny

- great eye contact/calm
- watch out for "stuff"
- positive demeanor
- great points

Craig

- great eye contact
- confident
- could project a bit more
- great points

Eric

- watch out for time management
- avoid "stuff, like"
- great points!

Jim

- watch for time management
- could project more
- great points

Christen Tedrow

EverythingTab.com

287 Cathedral 2 - CCHS

Varsity Parli Round 1 FLIGHT: A

Room: 202

2013 SDIVSL Debate 3

Round Start: 9:05

Ballot Due: ASAP

Affirmative: Mission Vista High School TCar

Negative: La Costa Canyon High School ABCB

Winner

Pos	Name	Rank RK (1-4)	Points (30-25)	Pos	Name	Rank RK (1-4)	Points (30-25)
3	Tera Cafro	2	28	2	Ashley Burch	3	27
1	aksel raya	4	26	4	Colin Burnett	1	28.5

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Resolution: This house values spending on education over defense.

Aksel - Dept of Ed 47 million

10 key position on either side (+) or (-)

→ (+) \$ on Ed vs. defense

→ investing \$ in Ed ↑ in citizens' intell.

BUT How would \$ be used in Ed

→ investing in Ed ↑ better defense → good point

→ COA → thoughtful argument

→ Argument that invest in Ed will increase

Defense But You don't point out HOW

\$ will be used to ↑ smarter pop.

Rebuttal is spot on. How use \$? ⇒ Your Answer to Stop cuts

Aksel's keeps argument.

Logic of argument wasn't as strong as needed to be

How is that hurting schools

connect Ed & ↑ in

stronger defense needed more locus based crit.

284 Classical 1 - Classical

Varsity Parli Round 1 FLIGHT: A

Room: N 1

2013 SDIVSL Debate 3

Round Start: 9:05

Ballot Due: ASAP

Affirmative: Scripps Ranch High School AMJK **Negative:** La Costa Canyon High School KJID

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-25)	Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-25)
1	Anvesh Macherla	---	26	2	Kevin Jung	---	27
4	Jason Kandu	---	27	3	Isaac Diaz	---	26

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Resolution: This house values spending on education over defense.

Both Teams presented well. Defense had many points but their position had a limited future value delivery. Education won the debate through their showing defense can improve + the nation can't avoid wars without education.

Presenter Notes.

Jason Kandu. Speak louder + with more eye contact. Good job connecting the points of argument for your position.

Anvesh. Good speaking + eye contact. Slow down remember quality of the argument versus speaking to fill time. Nice job on answering other teams questions during your time.

Kevin. Great job speaking, try to relax your energy + use your variation in tone to really call out your strongest points.

Isaac. Good Energy nice job pulling in the listener to your teams position. Try to say like less in your speech + be careful to offer evidence for a rebuttal of the other teams points.

Ileen Mylrea

EverythingTab.com

290 Bonita Vista 3 - BVHS

Room: 403

Varsity Parli Round 1 FLIGHT: A

2013 SDIVSL Debate 3

Round Start: 9:05

Ballot Due: ASAP

Affirmative: Torrey Pines High School NBRH

Negative: Scripps Ranch High School EWNM

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-25)
2	Negin Behzadian	2	28
1	Ryan Hund	1	29

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-25)
1	Evan Wildenhain	3	27
2	Nick Miller	4	26.5

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

Ileen Mylrea
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

BVHS
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Resolution: This house values spending on education over defense.

Judge is the defini
Customs + B protect
Great worth.

1. Quality of Life for All ~~SH~~ ~~Q~~ ~~III~~
just US.

★ Educated
People

Education in CA ↓ poverty
↑ Edu ↓ crime drugs
Long term prosperity
Denying mm QoL

★2 Q 11
QoL between
univer sal/hscit for

3. Border War long battle
too long hard

4. Cost to benefit ratio

How much money
is enough?

★2 defense gives right/support to citizens
purpose of education innovation
technologies only come i education.

∴ ↑ QoL

★1 defend country against
Definitions
'stage this debate' i new definitions
military actions -
Funding. stimulus for new projects
fuel, robotics, it is a catalyst
Answered 1st + 2nd Q

★2 Enough funding social order ↓
will edu thrive?
UN countries spend / strong military
QoL + rule interlinked
protects border, economy

★ Necessary funding for both.
Defense/military
push for changes
maintain natl rights of people
order in world.

FD: I found in favor of AFF due to the following pts that you
needed Education + Educated persons to be able to innovate
discover + protect our Nation, Long term prosperity and the
facts that the neg could not answer the burning
question "How much money is enough?"

Jian Ji

294 Canyon Crest Academy 1 - CCA

Varsity Parli Round 1 FLIGHT: A

Room: 407

2013 SDIVSL Debate 3

Round Start: 9:05

Ballot Due: ASAP

Affirmative: Mission Vista High School DMCS

Negative: Carlsbad High LBKB

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-25)	Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-25)
1	Denise Miresmaili	3	27	3	Lynette Belsky	2	29
2	Connor Schultz	4	26	4	Katie Bradshaw	1	29.5

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Resolution: This house values spending on education over defense.

~~both members~~ ^{are} The neg team is very experienced debaters where as both member of

The Affirmative team consists of first time debaters, so the performance is very one-sided.

Both Lynette and Katie are well prepared, articulate, and easy to understand. I gave Katie a slightly higher score because I felt she is a bit more articulate and persuasive. Lynette's constructive is very ~~impress~~ passionate & impressive.

Adia Zhang

EverythingTab.com

280 Westview 1 - Westview

Varsity Parli Round 1 FLIGHT: A

Room: 201

2013 SDIVSL Debate 3

Round Start: 9:05

9:30

Ballot Due: ASAP

Affirmative: La Costa Canyon High School
ZLAM

Negative: Mission Vista High School ACKO

Pos Name RK (1-4) Points (30-25)

___ Zach Lax

(3)

~~30~~ 27

___ Austin Mursinna

(4)

~~30~~ 27

Pos Name RK (1-4) Points (30-25)

___ Alagia Cirolia

(2)

~~30~~ 28

___ Kirra Quarrie

(1)

~~30~~ 29

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? N

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Resolution: This house values spending on education over defense.

Zach

- Safety - Present well
- ~~fixed~~ - Could be more enthusiastic

2. Austin

- very logic,
- a little hectic while defense

- plan
- Alagia: clearly stated.
- education of tech
- regulation - managed well
- five's in price and depth.
- 2. Kirra: - good questions.
- clear and logical.
- with cases supporting
- clear analysis.

Fang Xu

293 Scripps 3 - Scripps Ranch

Varsity Parli Round 1 FLIGHT: A

Room: 406

2013 SDIVSL Debate 3

Round Start: 9:05

Ballot Due: ASAP

Affirmative: Mission Vista High School MLNo

Negative: Torrey Pines High School EPND

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-25)
1	Max Lane	4	28

2	Nolan ohm	3	28.3
---	-----------	---	------

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-25)
1	Elizabeth Park	2	28.5

2	Natalie Duong	1	29
---	---------------	---	----

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? N

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Resolution: This house values spending on education over defense.

copy
- word. defense

- lack of supporting
arguments for
contentious

#2 ~~Not~~ more than
enough fund, - vague

- school get smaller &

- AFF didn't catch the
misquoted statement.

define value clearly

counter contention #3 didn't get
debated / counter clearly.

- important comes for education
↑
no counter for AFF.

neither did defined "value more"

- NEG has better eloquence
overall

- better structure

- more supporting material

This house values spending on education over

EverythingTab.com

defense

296 Scripps 4 - Scripps Ranch

Varsity Parli Round 1 FLIGHT: A

Room: 409

2013 SDIVSL Debate 3

Round Start: 9:05

Ballot Due: ASAP

Affirmative: Del Norte High School JLJS

Negative: Carlsbad High IMBE

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-25)
	Joshua Lee		29
	Justin Shin		29

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-25)
	Ian Marshall		27
	Barsegh Everekyan		28

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Resolution: This house values spending on education over defense.

Aff

• Difficult to define/justify what over a adequate means or when specifically we've spent too much. How much is too much? Be specific.

• "Why hasn't defense spending improved our economy now?" — ~~too. too. too.~~

↳ Strong point, although their argument had more to do with the military's ability to provide tax revenue — not necessarily to lower unemployment, etc.

NEG

• Neg struggles to explain America's poor performance in education (as measured against strong military)

• Not attacked since Pearl Harbor?!! (9-11-2001)

• Should have replied that economy is, at this moment, relatively stable (arguably)

• Point about state vs. federal funding confused/muddled.

★ Aff succeeds in pointing out that education funding is low as evidenced by low performance of students. Neg response is muddled and underdeveloped.

Peter Lee

291 Canyon Crest Academy 2 - CCA

Varsity Parli Round 1 FLIGHT: A

Room: 404

2013 SDIVSL Debate 3

Round Start: 9:05

Ballot Due: ASAP

Affirmative: Rancho Bernardo High School
GHIP

Negative: Scripps Ranch High School JWBH

Pos Name RK (1-4) Points (30-25)

Pos Name RK (1-4) Points (30-25)

1 Gabriel Hutter 3 2P

2 Jesse Wang 2 2P

3 Imad Pasha 2 2P

4 Bryan Hu 2 2P

Above, **CIRCLE** the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? NO

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Resolution: This house values spending on education over defense.

288 Bonita Vista 5 - BVHS

Varsity Parli Round 1 FLIGHT: A

Room: 401

2013 SDIVSL Debate 3

Round Start: 9:05

Ballot Due: ASAP

Affirmative: Torrey Pines High School LTYT**Negative:** Scripps Ranch High School JYAS

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-25)	Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-25)
211	Lior Troianovski	<u>1</u>	<u>29</u>		Jessica Yu	<u>2</u>	<u>28</u>
211	Youngho Yun	<u>4</u>	<u>27</u>		Anjana Srinivas	<u>3</u>	<u>27</u>

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Resolution: This house values spending on education over defense.

Neg did a good job / CX AFF's contentions
but AFF continued to follow up on CX's

My deciding factor was AFF's contention #2
not countered or addressed:

Military is not used ~~but~~ VS.
TSA, security.

292 RB 6 - RBHS

Varsity Parli Round 1 FLIGHT: A

Room: 405

2013 SDIVSL Debate 3

Round Start: 9:05

Ballot Due: ASAP

Affirmative: San Dieguito Academy SOET

Negative: Mission Vista High School RATM

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-25)	Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-25)
A	Shannon O'Donnell	3	28.5	—	Reyna Adams	4	28
—	Elise Titcomb	2	29	—	Tenaya Morningstar	1	30

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? —

Debbie Duncan Anderson
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

RB High
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Resolution: This house values spending on education over defense.

Tenaya, awesome speaker - well prepared!

Elise, keep your focus, well prepared!

Shannon, You asked good questions,
made a good point. Stay
focused!

Reyna, Slow down your speaking, you
have a good presence. Stay
focused.

285 High Tech 3 - HTHCV

Varsity Parli Round 1 FLIGHT: A

Room: 204

2013 SDIVSL Debate 3

Round Start: 9:05

Ballot Due: ASAP

Affirmative: La Costa Canyon High School
JLCD**Negative:** Scripps Ranch High School KSCW

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-25)	Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-25)
2	Jarrett Loke z)	3	27	1	Kyler Sakumoto	2	27
1	Colin Duff 1)	1	28	2	Charlie Wong	4	26

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Resolution: This house values spending on education over defense.

ANDREA PINEDA

TEAM-NEG. NEEDS TO SPEND MORE TIME ON RESEARCH.
TO MUCH REPETITION ON DIFFERENT POINTS. TO
GENERAL NOT SPECIFIC.

289 Classical 2 - Classical

Varsity Parli Round 1 FLIGHT: A

Room: 402

2013 SDIVSL Debate 3

Round Start: 9:05

Ballot Due: ASAP

Affirmative: San Dieguito Academy TGSL**Negative:** Scripps Ranch High School AXJX

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-25)	Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-25)
<u>3</u>	Ty Goreing	<u>2</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>4</u>	Annie Xu	<u>3</u>	<u>27</u>
<u>1</u>	Shawn Lee	<u>1</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>3</u>	Jonathan Xia	<u>4</u>	<u>25</u>

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Resolution: This house values spending on education over defense.

283 High Tech 1 - HTHCV

Varsity Parli Round 1 FLIGHT: A

Room: N 7

2013 SDIVSL Debate 3

Round Start: 9:05

Ballot Due: ASAP

Affirmative: La Costa Canyon High School
KOSL

Negative: San Dieguito Academy GGGC

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-25)
___	Kelly O'Malley	2	27
___	Sarah Lutz	1	27

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-25)
___	Gabrielle Gregg	4	26
___	Gabby Catalano	3	26

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? N

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Resolution: This house values spending on education over defense.

Affirmative essentially won by utilizing abusive definitions to make an unassailable case, but the neg countered without effectively challenging definitions or arguments of affirmative case.

Both cases were extremely light on examples or data and tended to focus on allegorical statements, rather than evidence.

2013 SDIVSL Debate 3

Rancho Bernardo High School | Jan 12, 2013

Novice Parli Results for Round 1

- **Cathedral Catholic High School RDSS N** defeated **Classical Academy High School ESLM**
- **Cathedral Catholic High School RSNL N** defeated **Rancho Bernardo High School THJK**
- **Classical Academy High School RGSW A** defeated **Carlsbad High NMLP**
- **La Costa Canyon High School JGJO A** defeated **Rancho Bernardo High School JSJB**
- **Rancho Bernardo High School AVJA A** defeated **La Costa Canyon High School CMBJ**
- **Rancho Bernardo High School NvJI N** defeated **Torrey Pines High School ACER**
- **Torrey Pines High School CLKL A** defeated **Classical Academy High School BWHV**

This house values spending on education over defense. Simeir Du

EverythingTab.com

278 Scripps 1 - Scripps Ranch

Novice Parli Round 1 FLIGHT: A

Room: N 6

2013 SDIVSL Debate 3

Round Start: 9:05

Ballot Due: ASAP

Affirmative: Classical Academy High School
ESLM

Negative: Cathedral Catholic High School
RDSS

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-25)	Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-25)
2	Evan Santos	3	27	2	Royce Dias	1	29
1	Lauren McAuliffe	4	27	1	Sarah Scarvie	2	28

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

This house values spending on education over defense.

Karen Lonsway

EverythingTab.com

276 SDA 8 - SDA

Novice Parli Round 1 FLIGHT: A

Room: N 16

2013 SDIVSL Debate 3

Round Start: 9:05

Ballot Due: ASAP

Affirmative: Torrey Pines High School CLKL

Negative: Classical Academy High School
BWHV

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-25)	Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-25)
	Chau Le	2	29		Brian White	4	27
	Kerry Luo	1	30		Haley Voorkamp	3	28

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? No

Karen Lonsway
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

SDA
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

This house values spending on education over defense.

Both teams had good research and points. The aff did a little better job of providing a road map and addressing contentions. The neg team had some good examples but the aff was more specific and related it better to their value. Kerry you spoke with a lot of passion and it helped you in speaker points. Chau had good eye contact and volume. Brian and Haley overall you did well but speak with more confidence. Brian you had some great points but speak louder next time and you could definitely have a chance for first place next time.

Barbara Lane

EverythingTab.com

277 MVHS 1 - MVHS

Novice Parli Round 1 FLIGHT: A

Room: N 15

2013 SDIVSL Debate 3

Round Start: 9:05

Ballot Due: ASAP

Affirmative: Classical Academy High School
RGSW

Negative: Carlsbad High NMLP

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-25)
___	Robert Goff	1	28
___	Scott Weston	2	26

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-25)
___	Natalie McKittrick	3	26
___	Lehua Plaza	4	26

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ___

Barbara Lane
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

MVHS
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

This house values spending on education over defense.

Loved the energy of all participants. All presented info. in a thoughtful and concise manner. Affirmative side provided well articulated information ~~through~~ that was consistent with contentions as well as the negative side. The deciding factor was in the Affirm's closing statement that conceded by "training our next generation how to improve our country," was a strong point of the debate and therefore won the debate.

Christian Pineda

EverythingTab.com

273 Helix 1 - Helix Charter

Novice Parli Round 1 FLIGHT: A

Room: N 3

2013 SDIVSL Debate 3

Round Start: 9:05

Ballot Due: ASAP

Affirmative: Torrey Pines High School ACER

Negative: Rancho Bernardo High School NvJI



Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-25)	Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-25)
-----	------	----------	----------------	-----	------	----------	----------------

2	Abishek Chozhan	2	28	1	Nina von Nyssen	1	30
---	-----------------	---	----	---	-----------------	---	----

4	Eli Rubenstein	4	25	2	Jacob Inman	3	28.5
---	----------------	---	----	---	-------------	---	------

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

This house values spending on education over defense.

I voted neg for they had clear contentions, they argued well and they never changed the resolution.

Jane Gao ~~Daniel~~ Noe

EverythingTab.com

274 Scripps 2 - Scripps Ranch

Novice Parli Round 1 FLIGHT: A ✓

Room: N 10

2013 SDIVSL Debate 3

Round Start: 9:05

Ballot Due: ASAP

Affirmative: Rancho Bernardo High School
THJK

Negative: Cathedral Catholic High School
RSNL

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-25)	Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-25)
<u>4</u>	Tim Ho	<u>4</u>	<u>27</u>	—	Robert Smith	<u>1</u>	<u>30</u>
—	Jungwoo Kim	<u>2</u>	<u>29</u>	—	Nicole Lobo	<u>3</u>	<u>28</u>

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? —

RS
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

Cathedral Catholic
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

This house values spending on education over defense.

To: Tim: I like your opinion,
but please practice on the way
you deliver your speech.

Jungwoo: I like your opinions too,
but please narrow down the to
few points. That way you can
better present each point.

Robert: I like the way
you do speech, only if you
can expand more your topic.

Nicole: way to go.

272 Carlsbad 1 - Cbad

Novice Parli Round 1 FLIGHT: A

Room: N 2

2013 SDIVSL Debate 3

Round Start: 9:05

Ballot Due: ASAP

Affirmative: La Costa Canyon High School
JGJO**Negative:** Rancho Bernardo High School JSJB

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-25)	Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-25)
<u>1</u>	Jaxon Guenther	<u>2</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>4</u>	Jeff Stewart	<u>4</u>	<u>27</u>
<u>3</u>	Jeff O'Brien	<u>1</u>	<u>28.5</u>	<u>2</u>	Joseph Byun	<u>3</u>	<u>27.25</u>

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

Barbara T. Oetting
JUDGE'S SIGNATURECHS
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

This house values spending on education over defense.

- Jaxon - loved your 2nd argument - very persuasive, addressed my concerns - eliminate words such as "like" and "stuff like that"
- Joseph - nice speaking style, would have liked more evidence for your position, need to clarify how cuts to military would mean cuts to police
- Jeff O - very relaxed and persuasive speaking style - can speak a little more forcefully / very intuitive argument - well thought out
- Jeff S - nice job with your argument - I liked your speaking style - if Benghazi is one of your big points, you need to know more about it - would have liked to hear more evidence for your plan and more details about how ^{allocation} ~~it~~ would work

Angela Maniscal

275 Francis 1 - Francis Parker

Novice Parli Round 1 FLIGHT: A

Room: N 14

2013 SDIVSL Debate 3

Round Start: 9:05

Ballot Due: ASAP

Affirmative: Rancho Bernardo High School
AVJA

Negative: La Costa Canyon High School CMBJ

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-25)	Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-25)
—	AJ Valenzula	1	29	—	Connor McCarthy	2	28
—	Jeff Anderson	3	28.5	—	Bryan Johnson	4	27

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

BVHS.
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

This house values spending on education over defense.

The aff's points were clear & made good use of rebuttals. The Neg tried to bring up new point but in the round need to use that before. Good job.

2013 SDIVSL Debate 3

Rancho Bernardo High School | Jan 12, 2013

Novice Parli Results for Round 1

- ~~Cathedral Catholic High School~~ RSNL N (30/28) defeated Rancho Bernardo High School THJK (27/29) ✓
- ~~Classical Academy High School~~ ESLM A (27/27) defeated Cathedral Catholic High School RDSS (29/28)
- ~~Classical Academy High School~~ RGSW A (28/26) defeated Carlsbad High NMLP (26/26) ✓
- ~~La Costa Canyon High School~~ JGJO A (28/28.5) defeated Rancho Bernardo High School JSJB (27/27.3) ✓
- ~~Rancho Bernardo High School~~ AVJA A (29/28.5) defeated La Costa Canyon High School CMBJ (28/27) ✓
- ~~Rancho Bernardo High School~~ NVJI N (30/28.5) defeated Torrey Pines High School ACER (28/25) ✓
- ~~Torrey Pines High School~~ CLKL A (29/30) defeated Classical Academy High School BWHV (27/28) ✓

2013 SDIVSL Debate 3

Rancho Bernardo High School | Jan 12, 2013

Varsity Pub.-Forum Results for Round 1

- **Bonita Vista High School DHSG** A (27/28) defeated Helix Charter High School ASSM (28/27)
- **Canyon Crest Academy CLLC** N (29.5/29) defeated Helix Charter High School GKNO (28.5/28)
- **Helix Charter High School ALMR** N (29/29) defeated Rancho Bernardo High School HQSR (28.5/28.5)
- **High Tech High Chula Vista SRNB** N (29.5/30) defeated Bonita Vista High School MPJR (27/29)
- **La Costa Canyon High School UCME** A (27/29) defeated Bonita Vista High School KDSH (29/27)

Andrca Pineda

EverythingTab.com

315 JUDGE TBA -

Varsity Pub.-Forum Round 1

Room: ROOM TBA

2013 SDIVSL Debate 3

Round Start: 9:45

Ballot Due: ASAP

Affirmative: Bonita Vista High School DHSG

Negative: Helix Charter High School ASSM

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-25)	Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-25)
1	Devin Helle 1)	3	27	2	Amber Sonka	1	28
2	Sabrina 2) Gonzalez	2	28	4	1) Spencer McCall	4	27

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Side

Andrca Pineda
ANDRCA PINEDA

HTH CV
HELIIX CHARTER HIGH SCHOOL

DEVIN - SPOKE A LITTLE TOO FAST. SLOW DOWN - BE MORE FRIENDLY TO THE PUBLIC.

Debbie Duncan Anderson

EverythingTab.com

313 JUDGE TBA -

Varsity Pub.-Forum Round 1

Room: ROOM TBA

2013 SDIVSL Debate 3

Round Start: 9:45

Ballot Due: ASAP

Affirmative: Helix Charter High School GKNO

Negative: Canyon Crest Academy CLC

Pos Name RK (1-4) Points (30-25)

AFF Gracie Kollias 3 28.5

Naomi Orosz 4 28

Pos Name RK (1-4) Points (30-25)

Cindy Liu 1 29.5

Lillian Chang 2 29

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win?

Debbie Duncan Anderson
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

RB High School
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Cindy, your information flowed well. Very good job!

Lillian, great facts + figures - good responses.

Gracie, you had good information and great rebuttal with statistics!

Naomi, well prepared! Good job.



Crr's Troupe

312 JUDGE TBA -

Varsity Pub.-Forum Round 1

Room: ROOM TBA

2013 SDIVSL Debate 3

Round Start: 9:45

Ballot Due: ASAP

Affirmative: La Costa Canyon High School UCME

Negative: Bonita Vista High School KDSH

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-25)	Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-25)
___	Ursula Chan	3	27	___	Katie Downing	2	29
___	Monica Eslamian	1	29	___	Soley Holt	4	27

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ___

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Good job on both sides

✓
314 JUDGE TBA -

Varsity Pub.-Forum Round 1

Room: ROOM TBA

2013 SDIVSL Debate 3

Round Start: 9:45**Ballot Due: ASAP****Affirmative:** Bonita Vista High School MPJR**Negative:** High Tech High Chula Vista SRNB

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-25)	Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-25)
<u>41</u>	Miranda Peters	<u>4</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>3</u>	Steven Reed	<u>2</u>	<u>29.5</u>
<u>4</u>	Jordan Raby G	<u>3</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>2</u>	Natalia Belarmino	<u>1</u>	<u>30</u>

**Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe
won the debate**

Low Point _____

S. A. White
JUDGE'S SIGNATUREClassical Academy
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION High School

Brian Reed

EverythingTab.com

316 JUDGE TBA -

Varsity Pub.-Forum Round 1

Room: ROOM TBA

2013 SDIVSL Debate 3

Round Start:

Ballot Due:

Affirmative: Rancho Bernardo High School HQSR

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-25)
-----	------	----------	----------------

2	Harry Qi	4	28.5
---	----------	---	------

1	Sathya Ramesh	3	28.5
---	---------------	---	------

Negative: Helix Charter High School ALMR

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-25)
-----	------	----------	----------------

2	Alec Laube	1	29
---	------------	---	----

1	Matt Rodriguez	2	29
---	----------------	---	----

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? N

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Excellent contest for this item.

AFF was unable to positively ~~correlate~~ demonstrate causality between spec PAC behavior and apathy/voter disenfranchisement undermining of democracy

Case regarding dollars vs. actual advertisements seemed to support NEG case because w/o PAC (as demonstrated by both teams), incumbents have strong advantage

- Both teams were exceptional; difficult case to judge

2013 SDIVSL Debate 3

Rancho Bernardo High School | Jan 12, 2013

Varsity LD Results for Round 1

- ~~✗~~ Bonita Vista High School AM N (28) defeated La Costa Canyon High School DB (25)
- ~~✗~~ Bonita Vista High School CC A (29) defeated La Costa Canyon High School LK (28.5)
- ~~✗~~ Bonita Vista High School DR A (28) defeated Rancho Bernardo High School GN (29) *low pD win*
- ~~✗~~ Bonita Vista High School GB N (28.5) defeated Rancho Bernardo High School AA (28)
- ~~✗~~ Bonita Vista High School ID N (27.5) defeated Canyon Crest Academy JG (27)
- ~~✗~~ La Costa Canyon High School NS A (28) defeated Torrey Pines High School CB (27)
- ~~✗~~ La Costa Canyon High School TB A (30) defeated Torrey Pines High School CC (27)
- ~~✗~~ Rancho Bernardo High School AA A (28) defeated La Costa Canyon High School NT (28.5)
- ~~✗~~ Rancho Bernardo High School IT A (28) defeated La Costa Canyon High School RL (27)
- ~~✗~~ Torrey Pines High School AS A (28) defeated La Costa Canyon High School JS (27)
- ~~✗~~ Torrey Pines High School ET N (29) defeated La Costa Canyon High School NP (29)
- ~~✗~~ Torrey Pines High School IG A (28) defeated Canyon Crest Academy JB (25.5)
- ~~✗~~ Torrey Pines High School JH A (29) defeated San Dieguito Academy LF (28)
- ~~✗~~ Torrey Pines High School MZ A (28) defeated San Dieguito Academy BS (27.5)
- ~~✗~~ Torrey Pines High School NC N (28) defeated La Costa Canyon High School IR (27)

Affirmative: La Costa Canyon High School NS

Negative: Torrey Pines High School CB

Name	Points (30-25)	Name	Points (30-25)
Noah Simpson	23	Christina Baek	27

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

Michael P. Huns
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

RBH
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Return ASAP

Are you allowed to have computer on? and use? YES can use in LD

Noah Simpson: - very good layout of case in initial Affirm and strong perpetued cycle argument that was never rebutted.

- made the case in second Affirm by showing Neg case did not leak back

Christina Baek: - Good cross of initial Affirm and good rebuttal of ~~the~~ Affirm case.

- Did not show the linkage of your contentions to your value and opponent got you on this point.

- Don't get angry in second Neg, just clarify your case and you would have won.

Affirmative: Torrey Pines High School MZ

Negative: San Dieguito Academy BS

Name	Points (30-25)
Michelle Zhao	28

Name	Points (30-25)
Ben Shore	29.5

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

Kim Mathis

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Bonita Vista High School

Return ASAP

4 in Between
5:49
Hard
Brenn's
when speaking

morality
Benefits to AUSA
Action - Stats
Results
Application
Contentment
Effect. -

Answers

Reliab Benefits
Didn't Answer

unlabeled pts. on both sides

question TML

Reliab not successful
cut off

Better At Focusing on the
lack of Benefits of Reliab.
Laptop distracting

Def. 1111
moral: Justice 111
Retribution - 11

#2 punish for wrong doing 111
#3 Jailed 11

USED A practical example
did not doing the work
Being sent to corner.

#4 -
RELIAB - cost, good examples

Gov. obligation

Reviewed

got Ben to agree with
her about the features
of current system -
NO evidence - for Ben
unable to answer.

value

Current system - not that great
agreed with Michelle.

Kim Mathis

Affirmative: Torrey Pines High School IG

Negative: Canyon Crest Academy JB

Name Points
(30-25)

Name Points
(30-25)

Ivy Gong

1 20

Joshua Bi

2 25.5

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Return ASAP

Def. 1111
morality 11
Resolution 1
Action 11
Max. Benefits 11
Reliab 11

Responded strongly to her questions. He was a little bit more in with round.

Do you believe in equality?

Reliab not tested in the USA. Yet it HAS stated facts.

CASE

1. Def. 1111 Retribution (NO EYE CONTACT)
Justice 1
MORAL
state of nature / law of nature
social contract

2. Crime - social contract compromised. Justice

S. Contract = Equality
Value of THE many
life is #1. Punishment
should fit the crime.

Justice - Def., Punishment
examples in history,
not all people are equal.

Difficulty Rebutting her

Justice / social contract
law of nature
Equality - people out of
Bail not equal.

Eye for an eye
Don't look

SKILLED DEBATER ABLE TO
ARGUE ALL points (NOT
in competition domain)


337 Torrey Pines 6 - TPHS**Room: S 9****Round Start: 9:15**

Varsity LD Round 1 FLIGHT: A

2013 SDIVSL Debate 3

Ballot Due: ASAP*Norman
Garcia***Affirmative:** Rancho Bernardo High School AA **Negative:** La Costa Canyon High School NT

<u>Name</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>(30-25)</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>(30-25)</u>
Ankit Ahuja	28	Nicole Timofeevski	28.5

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.Low Point Win? ☒
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Return ASAP

Ankit spoke really fast. I had to ask him to slow down twice.

- last speech - 1 minute over
- pointed out that Nicole's extended continuation had already been responded to
- quoted sources but didn't say their profession/affiliation

Nicole -

- last speech - 1 minute over
- quoted source but didn't say their profession/affiliation

Norman
Gardner**Affirmative:** Bonita Vista High School CC**Negative:** La Costa Canyon High School LK

Name	Points (30-25)	Name	Points (30-25)
Christina Clark	29	Lindsey Kaiser	28.5

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____



JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Return ASAP

christina

very composed speaker

This was very close, but christina won. Good Frontal lobe example.

Lindsey

very composed speaker, standing at the podium made her more official looking than when she was speaking while seated.

I am not sure about the fact that evidence can not be brought up after the Affirmation when it is to clarify the source of an example.

Affirmative: La Costa Canyon High School IR

Negative: Torrey Pines High School NC

<u>Name</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>(30-25)</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>(30-25)</u>
Ian Rowe	27	Nima Chatlani	28

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

Michael P. Hutter
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

RBH
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Return ASAP

Ian Rowe: - Good definition and lay out of the Affirm case
 - Did not negate the Neg case effectively
 - No use of statistics and only one reference to say Criminal Justice System was corrupt.

Nima Chatlani:

- Good point by point attack on the Affirm case and layed out your own case well.
- Look at assertions of loss of citizenship - had Merete but must show how "Freedom" is lost.

Both - Restating same arguments in subsequent rounds does not make the argument stronger.

Affirmative: Torrey Pines High School JH**Negative:** San Dieguito Academy LFNamePoints
(30-25)

____ Jason Helali

____ 29

NamePoints
(30-25)

____ Logan Foster

____ 28

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

C. HamiltonLCC

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Return ASAP

Jason : I liked your clear, firm, good speed delivery. Clear pauses and emphasis. Well argued ^{on} net benefits of rehabilitation, and that prison/retribution educates inmates to be more cunning + established criminals. I liked definition of 'ought' was highlighted. I wasn't so convinced that rehab. can always "fallback" on retribution. I would have thought that both should happen in tandem for an inmate.

Logan : A little too fast at times but improved in later stages. Great eye contact and gestures. I liked your doubts about rehabilitation - how it can be faked and ~~that~~ that it's difficult for it to be effective if it is followed by retribution. Your opponent didn't really explain how they would be practiced and measured if first, then the other. I was a little confused by your human dignity contention.

339 RB 4 - RBHS

Varsity LD Round 1 FLIGHT: B

Room: S 8

2013 SDIVSL Debate 3

Round Start: 9:15

Bob Noe

Ballot Due: ASAP

Affirmative: La Costa Canyon High School TB

Negative: Torrey Pines High School CC

Name

Points
(30-25)

Name

Points
(30-25)

X

Travis Breese

30

Caroyln Chu

27

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Return ASAP

Room: S 8

2013 SDIVSL Debate 3

Round Start: 9:15

Ballot Due: ASAP

BOB Noe

Affirmative: La Costa Canyon High School NP

Negative: Torrey Pines High School ET

Name	Points (30-25)	Name	Points (30-25)
Nathan Park	29	Everett Tu	29

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Return ASAP

STATED VALUE MORALITY
 STATED CRITERION MINIMIZE SUFFERING
 STATED RETRIBUTION WAS ACCEPTABLE IN SOME CRIMES I.E. MURDER/RAPES

C-1 RATE OF RECRUITING
 INCREASES IF NO. REHAB
 AND LONG STAY IN PRISON

C-2 COST INCREASES
 EFFECTIVENESS OF REHAB.

C-3 POPULATION OF PRISONS OVERFLOW

SAYS RETRIBUTION IN MURDER ONLY
 ON CROSS X, COULDN'T DEFINE

REBUTAL - NO CLEAR
 DEFINITION OF VIOLENT CRIME
 BY AFFIRMATIVE

C-1

C-2 REHAB DOESN'T WORK

C-3

C-4 REHAB DOESN'T DETER
 CRIME, RETRIBUTION DOES

FREEDOM OF CHOICE BY CRIMINAL
 RAN W/ FAIRNESS (JUSTICE)

How CAN we measure RESULTS OF
 REHAB?

SEE COMMENTS ON BACK

Affirmative: La Costa Canyon High School DB

Negative: Bonita Vista High School AM

Name	Points (30-25)	Name	Points (30-25)
Dana Barakat Aff	25	Alexander Mariscal Neg.	28

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

Cynthia Yee
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

TPHS
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Return ASAP

Value morality: social welfare - restorative justice
 rehab. - security and law enforcement in
 - jail teach (rehabilitating) rehab - doesn't explain punishment
 = unknown skills - 10-30%
 - restorative justice
 - rehab - less likely to reoffend
 - need more rehab centers
 - success rehab centers = no facts - no statistics
 - cheaper than prison
 - juveniles - rapid
 = criminals learn from criminals
 - rehab centers - part of public centers
 2

retributive punishment
 counterattack - he won 1st
 utility -
 underfunded
 25% prison of world
 good states
 good neg 1st
 - reh
 - prison
 - our system can't do it effectively
 - determining if punishment

social welfare
 counterattack non
 utility
 value: contentment - utilitarianism
 (way of measure happiness)

Counterattack
 Alexander won based on valid
 stats + defending his value +
 stand better
 neg case

4
 - underfunded
 - utilitarian
 - rehab + retribution +
 - above all

more summary work morality
 framework - social welfare determines
 1) morality & good
 2) rehabilitation - correction not punishment
 3) no evidence of stats that rehab is cheaper
 4) good is not to teach but
 5) privatization of rehab CJ only catches
 1.1 restorative

Affirmative: Bonita Vista High School DR

Negative: Rancho Bernardo High School GN

Name	Points (30-25)
Daniel Rodriguez (AFF)	28

Name	Points (30-25)
Grant Noe (Neg)	29

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win?

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Return ASAP

- 1) Societal welfare - more content -
 uttatah uttatah
 used for 8 prisons = 100% rehab
 1) efficient - 100% program = 2% of capacity
 92 B/H worse reception
 1/3 prison term = 70% back
 2) more time
 tax + safety = 100% rehab
 20% on annual saved money
 2) rehab - criminals learn from
 rehab - put back in jail
 3) social inequality - 100%

- 1) counter
 how many criminals rehabilitate =
- 2) justice - that stand
 maintain re
 1) rehab can't help (w/o justice) 100%
 2) Any rehab doesn't work if state
 'societal welfare cannot even if justice - 100%
 the 100% hand only choice?

- 2) counter - Ireland off
 80% offenders rehab =
- 3) counter - 94% overcrowding
 5 to keep jail
 15% rehab

maintain retribution
 rehab = NO COSR =

You were both so good - this was very difficult but I am
 was impressed with Grant's presentation however
 it came down to me that I appreciated Daniel's
 statistics + I didn't think that we had to choose
 exclusively between retribution + rehabilitation.

335 Torrey Pines 3 - TPHS

Room: E 3

Round Start: 9:15

→ 10:30

DMITC Varsity LD Round 1 FLIGHT: A
TROIANO VS LCP 2013 SDIVSL Debate 3

Ballot Due: ASAP

Affirmative: Rancho Bernardo High School IT

Negative: La Costa Canyon High School RL

Name	Points (30-25)
✓ Ishan Timalisina	28

Name	Points (30-25)
✓ Ryan Leigh	27

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

Return ASAP

good start

② Q+A did both well

was very good defending his points.

Repeating himself

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

① Q+A NOT RELEVANT questions

START

A better speaker

Eugene Rui

Affirmative: Torrey Pines High School AS

Negative: La Costa Canyon High School JS

Name	Points (30-25)	Name	Points (30-25)
Arun Sundar	28	Jenna Soenksen	27

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

Eugene Rui

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

Rancho Bernardo High School

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Return ASAP

Arun

① rehab.
majority crime - drug-related
mental issues.

~~Separated rehab. from incarceration~~

"rehab. doesn't mean
no incarceration" to when
responded questions.

which is more maximizin
safety & more moral?

rehab = retri + reform

Jenna

retribution
Promote stability
over utilitarian

prevent future crime
like used
example.
used kids behavior
etc.

rehab. duration
evalu. doubtful.

Both of them are active ~~deb~~ debaters with good confidence.

Arun ~~appears~~ argued for rehab. cleverly, which
is a difficult thing to do. He managed that very well.

348 Torrey Pines 1 - TPHS

Varsity LD Round 1 FLIGHT: A

Room: S 4*Chozham Jayachandran*

2013 SDIVSL Debate 3

Round Start: 9:15**Ballot Due: ASAP****Affirmative:** Canyon Crest Academy JG**Negative:** Bonita Vista High School ID

Name	Points (30-25)	Name	Points (30-25)
Jeffrey Gao	<i>13.16</i> 5.15 27	Imani Dawson	<i>Time 12.29</i> 6.58 27.5

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

J. Gao
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE~~TPHS~~
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Return ASAP

*Imani won because she argued well and
Counter argued the points given by
Jeffrey.*

Room: S 4

2013 SDIVSL Debate 3

Round Start: 9:15

Chozhan Jayachandran

Ballot Due: ASAP

Affirmative: Rancho Bernardo High School AA

Negative: Bonita Vista High School GB

Name

Points
(30-25)

Name

Points
(30-25)

Aneesh Ahuja

28.0

Gabriel Benaso

28.5

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

J. Ashy
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Return ASAP

Even though Aneesh gave more Statistical points
Gabriel compared with real time examples
hence I thought he was

2013 SDIVSL Debate 3

Rancho Bernardo High School | Jan 12, 2013

Novice LD Results for Round 1

- ✓ Bonita Vista High School AK A (30) defeated Torrey Pines High School EX (29.5)
- ✓ Bonita Vista High School JN A (29.6) defeated Del Norte High School SL (27.8)
- ✓ Bonita Vista High School SH A (29) defeated Rancho Bernardo High School NR (28)
- ✓ Bonita Vista High School TS N (27) defeated Rancho Bernardo High School JW (26)
- ✓ Canyon Crest Academy BC A (25) defeated Otay High School NL (28)
- ✓ Canyon Crest Academy HK N (30) defeated Rancho Bernardo High School JM (29)
- ✓ Carlsbad High JO N (28) defeated Torrey Pines High School KL (27)
- ✓ Cathedral Catholic High School JK A (28) defeated Rancho Bernardo High School CD (27)
- ✓ Clairemont High School CC N (27) defeated Rancho Bernardo High School BL (26.5)
- ✓ Otay High School AL A (30) defeated Bonita Vista High School DG (28.2)
- ✓ Rancho Bernardo High School AL A (28) defeated Canyon Crest Academy KX (26)
- ✓ Rancho Bernardo High School AR N (29) defeated Westview High School NC (25.5)
- ✓ Rancho Bernardo High School KH A (B) defeated ZZ-BYE-ZZ ()
- ✓ Rancho Bernardo High School MY A (29) defeated Canyon Crest Academy EL (28)
- ✓ Rancho Bernardo High School SB N (27) defeated Torrey Pines High School CP (26)
- ✓ Torrey Pines High School AL A (27) defeated Bonita Vista High School KH (26)
- ✓ Torrey Pines High School AM A (29) defeated Bonita Vista High School AR (27)
- ✓ Torrey Pines High School HZ N (BYE) defeated Cathedral Catholic High School KC ()

327 Torrey Pines 9 - TPHS

Novice LD Round 1 FLIGHT: A

Room: S 3

2013 SDIVSL Debate 3

Round Start: 9:15

Ballot Due: ASAP

Affirmative: Bonita Vista High School JN

Negative: Del Norte High School SL

Name	Points (30-25)	Name	Points (30-25)
Juliette Nguyen	29.6	Sophia Lyakhova	27.8

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

Kelly Rogers

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Canyon Crest Academy

- Decision in favor of affirmative, case built logically able to leverage good rebuttal and cross.
- Negative ^{written} case well prepared and articulate
- Affirmative well-spoken, calm, thoughtful in refute of negative's case.

330 Torrey Pines 9 - TPHS

Novice LD Round 1 FLIGHT: B

Room: S 3

2013 SDIVSL Debate 3

Round Start: 9:15

Ballot Due: ASAP

Affirmative: Otay High School AL

Negative: Bonita Vista High School DG

Name	Points (30-25)	Name	Points (30-25)
Allison Lemus	30	Dorothy Grafilo	28.2

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

Kelly Ragns

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Canyon Crest Academy

- Decision in favor of affirmative - good use of statistics to argue case and rebut negative.
- Both arguments listen well to other side's case and commented appropriately
- Affirmative's cost argument was strong, and use energy and emphatic statement to deliver effective argument
- Negative good use of emotional plea of Sandy Hook example.

MIKE BURCH

EverythingTab.com

318 Torrey Pines 5 - TPHS → LCC

Novice LD Round 1 FLIGHT: A

Room: 504

2013 SDIVSL Debate 3

Round Start: 9:15

Ballot Due: ASAP

Affirmative: Westview High School NC

Negative: Rancho Bernardo High School AR

Name	Points (30-25)	Name	Points (30-25)
Nick Calkins	25.5	Amir Rezvani	29

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

- LCC
- AMIR (NEG) WON THE DEBATE THROUGH STRONG, CONSISTENT AND CONCISE ARGUMENTS. THROUGHOUT THE ENTIRE PROCESS HE WAS MORE PERSUASIVE AND CONFIDENT, AND SYSTEMATICALLY ATTACKED HIS OPPONENT'S CONTENTIONS. HE WAS POLITE AND PROFESSIONAL DURING CROSS X, AND METHODICALLY STATED HIS SIDE EFFECTIVELY. STRONG USE OF QUOTES / FACTS AND HE DID A VERY GOOD JOB OF EXTENDING HIS CONTENTIONS AND A SOLID JOB WRAPPING UP HIS ARGUMENT AT THE END. ONE CRITICISM, SLOW DOWN WHEN DEFINING TOPIC
 - NICK (AFF) started off very well, strong example of Danish prisons to illustrate his point. Appeared well prepared, but would benefit from more eye contact. Defined his contentions clearly and had good eye contact during Cross X. Unfortunately as the debate continued, Nick lost his confidence and his train of thought became hard to follow. While I could tell he knew the subject matter, his nerves appeared to affect him and his arguments weakened. Needs to make sure to both defend his side clearly and also attack his opponent's contentions consistently. Again, Nick started off strong, then struggled to finish the debate.

319 Torrey Pines 5 - TPHS LCC

Novice LD Round 1 FLIGHT: B

Room: 504

2013 SDIVSL Debate 3

Round Start: 9:15

Ballot Due: ASAP

Affirmative: Rancho Bernardo High School JW Negative: Bonita Vista High School TS

Name	Points (30-25)	Name	Points (30-25)
Joshua Wee	26	Trevor Swafford	27

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

TREVOR (NEG) WON THE DEBATE BY A VERY NARROW MARGIN. BOTH SIDES WERE WELL PREPARED, AND CITED GOOD EXAMPLES / QUOTES TO SUPPORT THEIR SIDE. TREVOR WON BY DOING A SLIGHTLY BETTER JOB FROM START TO FINISH. HIS DEFINITION OF TERMS AND CLAR X WERE A BIT EASIER TO FOLLOW, AND ULTIMATELY WERE MORE PERSUASIVE. HE HAD GOOD EYE CONTACT, AND WORKED HARD TO ATTACK HIS OPPONENT'S CONTENTIONS METHODICALLY.

JOSHUA (AFF) WAS VERY WELL PREPARED AND KNEW THE MATERIAL WELL. AS A LAY JUDGE, I FELT HIS ARGUMENT WAS WEAKENED BY THE FAST DELIVERY AND WHILE I UNDERSTOOD WHY HE WAS TRYING TO FIT SO MUCH INFORMATION IN, HIS ARGUMENTS WERE NOT PERSUASIVE BECAUSE ALL HE DID WAS QUICKLY READ OFF A PIECE OF PAPER (NO EYE CONTACT). SOMETIMES LESS IS MORE, AND TRYING TO CONNECT WITH THE JUDGE TO ARGUE HIS POINT WOULD BE MORE BENEFICIAL THAN CITING NUMEROUS SOURCES OVERALL, VERY CLOSE DEBATE - NICE JOB.

324 Torrey Pines 7 - TPHS

Novice LD Round 1 FLIGHT: B

Room: S 13

2013 SDIVSL Debate 3

Round Start: 9:15

Ballot Due: ASAP

Affirmative: Bonita Vista High School SH

Negative: Rancho Bernardo High School NR

Name	Points (30-25)	Name	Points (30-25)
✓ Segan Helle	29	✓ Nicholas Rui	28

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

1. Affirmative: speech clear, give data. - 29
 neg: discredited. - two side both good.
 neg side ask 2 min preparation

2. Neg. speech. - need fast. - 28
 Affirm discredited. -
 Affirm ask 1 min too prep.

3. Affirm speech (4 min) - 30
 neg ask 1 min has eye contact.
 neg discredited

neg side need more eye contact.

NR need more eye contact

323 Torrey Pines 7 - TPHS

Novice LD Round 1 FLIGHT: A

Room: S 13

2013 SDIVSL Debate 3

Round Start: 9:15

Ballot Due: ASAP

Affirmative: Rancho Bernardo High School JM Negative: Canyon Crest Academy HK

Name	Points (30-25)	Name	Points (30-25)
✓ Jack Miao	29	✓ Harish Kandula	30 PL

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? PL

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

① Affirmative: speak ~~fluently~~ main idea clear 29
Negative: discredit 29

② Negative: convince speak slow, but clear. 28

Affirmative: discredit 28
(2 mins preparation for next topic).
③ Affirmative: The 2nd topic is better than first speech
Neg: discredit: Counter is good.
Affirm: ~~28~~

④ Negative
Affirm:

JM is good speaker.

transfer was

333 RB 2 - RBHS

Novice LD Round 1 FLIGHT: A

Room: E 4

2013 SDIVSL Debate 3

Round Start: 9:15

Ballot Due: ASAP

Affirmative: Torrey Pines High School KL

Negative: Carlsbad High JO

Name	Points (30-25)	Name	Points (30-25)
Kathi Li	25 27	Justin Oetting	28

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Kathi

- ① retribution cause
lot of negative effect
no benefit.
- ② rehabilitative can benefit
for criminal to fit
into society.
cost vs. benefits.
retribution no effect
on crime rate
didn't finish until 7¹⁵

Justin

- questions not answered well
- Mafia case
- Stewart case
- Madoff case
- cost to rehab.
- rehab. length.
- 3' 47"
- Justice, right/wrong
- deterance
- why free education?

Justin presented clear case, with asked good questions which are not answered very well.

322 Torrey Pines 4 - TPHS

Novice LD Round 1 FLIGHT: B

Room: 606

2013 SDIVSL Debate 3

Round Start: 9:15

Ballot Due: ASAP

Affirmative: Rancho Bernardo High School AL **Negative:** Canyon Crest Academy KX

Name	Points (30-25)	Name	Points (30-25)
Alex Layton	28	Kevin Xiong	26

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Alex: - No eye contact -

- good contacts - methodical; logical. Real life examples with quotes of studies.

Kevin: In cross examination - cut short responses;

Nepahin: - good eye contact - main argument - justice/loyalty contact

- debating skills displayed.

Alex: went over time with 3 rounds + he knew it!

Very spirited round

Alex: good eye contact in rebuttal round.
good arguments rebutting "Negative aspects"
Some smirks.

Kevin: somewhat clumsy; Valiant effort

321 Torrey Pines 4 - TPHS

Novice LD Round 1 FLIGHT: A

Room: 606

2013 SDIVSL Debate 3

Round Start: 9:15

Ballot Due: ASAP

Affirmative: Rancho Bernardo High School BL

Negative: Clairemont High School CC

Name	Points (30-25)	Name	Points (30-25)
Brandon Lam	26.5	Carmen Crow	27

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Brandon

with judge or the (negative) person.

Observations: - minimal eye contact

- monotonous tone

- good Arguments

- paper almost covering the face some times.

- good responses for questions.
interrupted for twice when Carmen was responding.

Carmen: more clarity in arguments.

Observations

eye contact on + off (better than Lam)

her responses to "affirmative's" arguments
impromptu are good. (thinking on feet)

Round 2: (Brandon) - Plus (negative) - slightly rambling
some what misleading statements
(putting words in "negative's" mouth)

Carmen: articulated & defended her case
- more neutral -

- Stronger Vocabulary.
- few smirks.

Aaron Foster

EverythingTab.com

328 RB 3 - RBHS

Novice LD Round 1 FLIGHT: A

Room: S 2

2013 SDIVSL Debate 3

Round Start: 9:15

Ballot Due: ASAP

Affirmative: Torrey Pines High School AL

Negative: Bonita Vista High School KH

Name

Points
(30-25)

Name

Points
(30-25)

W Antoni Lee

27

L Kate Holt

26

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

AFF - don't ~~run~~ run your case in cross ex. ~~aff~~
Great warrants and Evidence.
Remind Judge when your opponent drops
your contentions.

Neg. - Prepare your case better
so you have time to argue
on the AFFS case

Araron Foster

EverythingTab.com

329 RB 3 - RBHS

Novice LD Round 1 FLIGHT: B

Room: S 2

2013 SDIVSL Debate 3

Round Start: 9:15

Ballot Due: ASAP

Affirmative: Bonita Vista High School AK

Negative: Torrey Pines High School EX

Name

Points
(30-25)

Name

Points
(30-25)

30

Alek Komatina

30

29.5

Edric Xiang

29.5

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

~~AFE don't use your evidence, make sure you remind judge that neg dropped your argument~~
~~neg. + Good evidence but put into your~~
~~1 AC 1 NN~~
~~prepare your case it does not run into your rebuttal~~

Varsity Level Debate

Both debaters were excellent.

AFE wins in that He proved his V + VC and it flowed through debate.

Neg - Make sure you use evidence as you missed it in your 1 NC on status and excellent cross ex

325 Torrey Pines 8 - TPHS

Novice LD Round 1 FLIGHT: A

Room: ~~810~~ EL

2013 SDIVSL Debate 3

Round Start: 9:15

Ballot Due: ASAP

Affirmative: Cathedral Catholic High School JK **Negative:** Rancho Bernardo High School CD

Name	Points (30-25)	Name	Points (30-25)
Jonathan Kunert	28	Carly Doi	27

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ~~Yes~~

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Aff

- drug addicts
- Compassion - NYU Medical Center
- human dignity
- retribution
- mental disorder, detainment ineffective
- genetic disorder
- good outline of issues

Cross ex ① psychologist is capable of determining mental health patients

- rehabilitation costs more
- prisoner can be rehabilitated & produce in society
- prisoner ① can still commit crimes in prison
- ① rehab requires retribution against reg resolution
- ① released when deemed fit
- ① punishment is rehab as well
- ① treats cause

Great job upholding arguments!

- Cross ex dictionary not a law dictionary
- some go to prison, some to mental institution at
- % of people going to mental institution? don't know
- justice speech
- people what they are due
- US Criminal Justice System should be
- ①
- ①

- retribution - incarcerate
- corrupt people in jail
- laws state that criminals should be punished
- cost of rehabilitation ①
- criminals deserve retribution
- 2 cards - mental card ①
- ① - needs for mental patients may not take meds

Excellent arguments!

Ratna Dube Chetani

EverythingTab.com

326 Torrey Pines 8 - TPHS

Novice LD Round 1 FLIGHT: B

Room: 810 E6

2013 SDIVSL Debate 3

Round Start: 9:15

Ballot Due: ASAP

Affirmative: Rancho Bernardo High School MY Negative: Canyon Crest Academy EL

Name	Points (30-25)	Name	Points (30-25)
Matthew Yu	29	Evan Lee	28

Above CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Value: Public Safety
reduce recidivism

- drug courts / mostly drug/mental
- mental court offenders.

Is: ① defended issues
①

Cross Ex

morality: ①
① rehab - no stats from reg.

- retribution is ultimately the best option ①
- criminal justice protects public ①
- public safety better sol'n ①
- wrongly convicted ①
- reverse not sol'n ①

- drug courts / mental courts mostly 80% / 75% ①
- extended sentencing ①
- rehab more desirable ①
addressed Neg's issues

Excellent speech +
Argument

Cross Ex:

- rehab reduces recidivism?
- drug abuse?

morality

- criminal
Contention: give up moral obligation
- avoiding social contract
- pay back their debt ①
- moral burden
- failure of justice system

social contract not fulfilled ①
both dictionaries used ①

- Merriam Webster ①
- Resln which is ①
- Petris avoids chos ①
- upholding social contract

addressed a number of issues
Excellent speech

334 Torrey Pines 3 - TPHS

Novice LD Round 1 FLIGHT: A

Room: E 3

2013 SDIVSL Debate 3

Round Start: 9:15

Ballot Due: ASAP

Affirmative: Canyon Crest Academy BC

Negative: Otay High School NL

Name

Points
(30-25)

Name

Points
(30-25)

A Bhairav
Chidambaram

25

N Nathaniel
Lagman

28

Rehabilitation

Retribution

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? yes
strong evidence

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

TPHS

- 6
1. Definition of each.
 2. Morality. ① Criminal. ~~not~~ punishment * not very clear
 3. cost US. ② effectiveness. ~~degree~~ program education drug program

- 3
- ① rehabilitation crime reduction
 - ② ~~re~~ probability
 - ③ benefits ~~on~~ or society

- 7
- ① Definition of each (more than affirmative)
A - not 100% success B. victim's right. law-abiding citizen

- 3
- Utility. maximize pleasure - minimize pain.
reduce the crime
not very strong cross-examination

- 4
- contention ① effectiveness. 83% ✓
 - ② victim's right.

- 4
- challenge the effectiveness. ✓
 - utility - victim
only on society but not on.

- Suggestion to Affirmative team
- ① look at your opponents and judge.
Do not read scripts the whole time
make eye contact more often.
 - ② ~~be~~ be more assertive
w/ cross examination.

331 RB 1 - RBHS

Novice LD Round 1 FLIGHT: A

Room: S 1

2013 SDIVSL Debate 3

Round Start: 9:15

Ballot Due: ASAP

Affirmative: Torrey Pines High School AM

Negative: Bonita Vista High School AR

Name	Points (30-25)
X Aisiri Murulidhar	29

Name	Points (30-25)
Adrienne Reyes	27

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

Paneen Noe
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

RBHS debate parent
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Both girls did a great job of speaking & had good eye contact. They were both dressed professionally & spoke clearly with good volume. (I would only recommend introducing yourself at the onset & standing each time you speak [Aisiri], which comes across as more powerful. Both used good definitions & terminology.

Differences:

- Adrienne - 1) ^{Clearly} Stated her value & had lots of contentions to support her platform
2) Used a book as a reference to re-affirm her case.

- Aisiri - 1) Pointed out that her opponent didn't attack any of her contentions.
2) Used solid statistical evidence that she reiterated
3) Did a better job presenting her roadmap after her short prep time
4) Was more convincing, powerful, passionate & believable.

Affirmative wins!

320 Bonita Vista 2 - BVHS

Novice LD Round 1 FLIGHT: ~~A~~ B

Room: 607

2013 SDIVSL Debate 3

Round Start: 9:15

Ballot Due: ASAP

Affirmative: Torrey Pines High School CP

Negative: Rancho Bernardo High School SB

Name	Points (30-25)	Name	Points (30-25)
Cody Pan	<u>2</u> <u>26</u>	Sachin Betrabet	<u>1</u> <u>27</u>

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

Lupe Ammas
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

LCC
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

- THE NEG MADE A VERY CONVINCING COUNTER ARGUMENT TO MORALITY JUSTIFYING REHAB.
- THE NEG'S ARGUMENT THAT PUNISHMENT IS A MEANS OF COMPENSATION TO THE VICTIM & TO SOCIETY WAS EFFECTIVELY REASONED & DELIVERED.
- LASTLY, THE NEG WAS EFFECTIVE IN REFUTING THE AFF'S REASONING THAT SOCIAL-ECON FACTORS JUSTIFY REHAB OVER RETRIBUTION.
- THE AFF'S ARGUMENTS REGARDING RACIAL INEQUALITY WAS WEAK AND NOT CONVINCING.
- BOTH SPEAKERS CAN IMPROVE ON PREP & DELIVERY.

332 RB 1 - RBHS

Novice LD Round 1 FLIGHT: B

Room: S 1

2013 SDIVSL Debate 3

Round Start: 9:15

Ballot Due: ASAP

Affirmative: Cathedral Catholic High School
KC

Negative: Torrey Pines High School HZ

Name	Points (30-25)
------	-------------------

Katarina Cohen	
----------------	--

no show

Name	Points (30-25)
------	-------------------

Hanrui Zhang	
--------------	--

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe
won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

Daneen J Noe
JUDGE'S SIGNATURERBHS debate parent
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

2013 SDIVSL Debate 3

Rancho Bernardo High School | Jan 12, 2013

Novice Pub.-Forum Results for Round 1

- **Canyon Crest Academy ERJG** N (28/29) defeated San Dieguito Academy RSAS (26/27)
- **Liberty Charter High School APAS** A (28/30) defeated Westview High School DFAK (27/26)
- **Liberty Charter High School JLFG** A (B/B) defeated ZZ-BYE-ZZ ()
- **Mt. Carmel High School RHDW** N (28/29) defeated Torrey Pines High School AQLZ (28/28)
- **Rancho Bernardo High School GMJC** N (28.5/29) defeated High Tech High Chula Vista CLNB (28/27)

310 JUDGE TBA -

Novice Pub.-Forum Round 1

Room: ROOM TBA

2013 SDIVSL Debate 3

Round Start: 9:45**Ballot Due: ASAP****Affirmative:** San Dieguito Academy RSAS**Negative:** Canyon Crest Academy ERJG

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-25)
___	Robert Stegman	<u>4</u>	<u>24</u>

___	Armann Shah	<u>3</u>	<u>27</u>
-----	-------------	----------	-----------

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-25)
___	Ethan Ragins	<u>2</u>	<u>23</u>

___	Jack Glenn	<u>1</u>	<u>21</u>
-----	------------	----------	-----------

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ___

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

See Attached

CTay
NG
AET
SDA

Marcos Ochoa

Novice Public Forum

Round 1 Flight A

Neg	Wtl	RK	Points
ECA		2	28
Ethan Ragins		1	29
Jack Glenn			

RK Points

Robert Sogman 4 26
Armann Shah 3 27

Dro
"Like" / um
Robert 26
Stats, facts,
Unfairness - Dem (S) Republican (Super-Pac)
Citizens
Report Contention - Misunderstand -
Evidence about Wynn LV Hotel &
Money advantage: results don't justify

Ethan 28
Definitions:
Corruption question??
answered well -
Evidence of swaying voters.
Clarified 1st contention
Money helps party information.
ads are aids information

Armann 27
still wrong on contention 1 - weak point contradicts
1) - misunderstood contention 1
2) - gen. info for public: - lies to public
harm election committee
Contentions
3) Influence through corporations - wynn resort evidence.
4) Corporations: favor parties - money tips between
5) \$300M - max -
question: Romney (S) Obama.
Obama - out raised Romney - 3 won.
- contradicts earlier point (irrelevant)
- result not difference.

Jack 29
1) Clarifies contention.
2) No evidence that employees swayed
3) Influence = information
4) Republican Party favored: not true
5) Romney beat Obama \$400 million
- Obama still won - no criteria for Aff.
6) Evidence of lack of (influence)
7) more evidence: - our criteria - wasn't met

Jane Gao ~~Daniel Fan~~ ~~Joe~~

EverythingTab.com

308 JUDGE TBA -

Novice Pub.-Forum Round 1

Room: ROOM TBA

2013 SDIVSL Debate 3

Round Start: 9:45

Ballot Due: ASAP

Affirmative: Liberty Charter High School APAS Negative: Westview High School DFAK

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-25)	Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-25)
___	Ahmed Pasha	2	29 28	___	Daniel Fan	3	28 27
___	Angelo Shoree	1	30	___	Andrew Kim	4	27 26

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ___

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

To Shoree:

I like the way you present your topic. Just be careful not be carried away too far.

pasha:

Good work. More practice to make presenting yourself more comfortable when presenting.

Daniel & Andrew:

You need more practice on presenting your topic / or the points of topic.

Also you have been very close to get the other team's weak spots, but missed. ~~That~~ All this made your argument not very ~~strong~~ strong, but.

Keep practicing, so you feel comfortable presenting your own opinions first, then try to find the opposite team's logical flaw.

✓

Simeir Du

309 JUDGE TBA -

Novice Pub.-Forum Round 1

Room: ROOM TBA

2013 SDIVSL Debate 3

Round Start: 9:45

Ballot Due: ASAP


Affirmative: Torrey Pines High School AQLZ

Negative: Mt. Carmel High School RHDW

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-25)	Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-25)
1	Alice Qu	3	28	4	Rakim Hinds	4	28
2	Lauren Zhu	2	28	2	David Watson	1	29

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate

Low Point Win? ____


JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Angela Mansal

EverythingTab.com

311 JUDGE TBA -

Novice Pub.-Forum Round 1

Room: ROOM TBA

2013 SDIVSL Debate 3

Round Start: 9:45

Ballot Due: ASAP

Affirmative: High Tech High Chula Vista CLNB Negative: Rancho Bernardo High School GMJC

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-25)	Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-25)
___	Camila Lopez	<u>3</u>	<u>28</u>	___	Gopika Mavalankar	<u>2</u>	<u>28.5</u>
___	Nazaria Barela	<u>4</u>	<u>27</u>	___	Joanna Cheng	<u>1</u>	<u>29</u>

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ___

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

The negation was able to prove their point better & asked great questions on the cross-x. The aff & did a good job but did not prove their burden.

2013 SDIVSL Debate 3

Rancho Bernardo High School | Jan 12, 2013

Novice Policy Results for Round 1

- **High Tech High Chula Vista EASC** A (28/27) defeated Torrey Pines High School NLEL (28.5/27.5)
- **Westview High School ELAD** N (B/B) defeated ZZ-BYE-ZZ ()
- **Westview High School MKCF** A (29/29.5) defeated Torrey Pines High School CHJK (27/26)

Miel Burnett

EverythingTab.com

298 Canyon Crest Academy 3 - CCA

Novice Policy Round 1 FLIGHT: A

Room: 600

2013 SDIVSL Debate 3

Round Start: 9:15

Ballot Due: ASAP

Affirmative: Westview High School MKCF

Negative: Torrey Pines High School CHJK

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-25)
2	Medeeha Khan	2	29
1	Catie Fan	1	29.5

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-25)
1	Corey Hu	3	27
2	Jonathan Kim	4	26

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

[Signature]
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

La Costa Canyon
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Aff → both of you are very nice speakers - Clear & I felt you understood what you were saying. Your arguments were logical; you followed them up with of a clear picture how tax \$ would increase in infrastructure. excellent job!! you came back strong on every point your opponents tried to make

Neg → I understand that you were trying to win on topicality but that was a weak argument at best. You spent so long trying to claim unfairness that it was detrimental to your case. I got the point, now time to move on. How about a counter plan ~~instead~~ instead of trying to tell your opponents what they should be arguing. You almost made it too easy for Aff to win.

Speaker #2 → watch your speech I heard a lot of "Basically, like i um"

Page 1/2, Created Sat-9:13 am

1/10 partner had to step in several times

299 SDA 7 - SDA

Novice Policy Round 1 FLIGHT: A

Room: 604

2013 SDIVSL Debate 3

Round Start: 9:15

Ballot Due: ASAP

Affirmative: High Tech High Chula Vista EASC Negative: Torrey Pines High School NLEL

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-25)	Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-25)
AF	Erika Alvarez	2	28.0	NG	Nathan Lian	1	28.5
AF	Sara Childers	4	27	NG	Edwin Li	3	27.5

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ☒

Brian B. Chast
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

SPA
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

AF

NAFTA is a free trade agreement. Probably don't want to use it to increase tariffs, which it forbids. It is the North American Free Trade Agreement, China is not a part. Retuted nukewar well. Sara repeated a bit much and could have been more fluid. Also, if you raise import taxes, prices go up, living standards go down. Opponents didn't raise this but you should be prepared for it. Also other governments retaliate

Neg

You kept using federal fiscal discipline's debt as problems, but AF's plan was to use taxes. If they raise taxes, they pay for the plan, so no debt. Good points on states being trusted more, and more reliable. Still, states have to raise money with taxes, or borrow it, or reduce other programs, so how is that better. I think a better argument would

be states would know better where and how to improve their roads. Look up "Bridge to nowhere."